



---

# Policy Options for Limiting Patient Cost-Sharing for Prescription Drugs

**Sara B. McMennamin, PhD, MPH**



**UC San Diego**  
SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

**Department of Family Medicine and Public Health**  
...Where Public Health is our primary focus.



# Acknowledgements

- **Co-Authors**

- **Riti Shimkhada, PhD, MPH**

- **Ninez Ponce, PhD MPP**

- **Garen Corbett, MS**

- **California Health Benefits Review Program**

# California Health Benefits Review Program (CHBRP)

- Legislatively established
- Analyze legislation per request of the assembly/senate health committees related to insurance benefits
- Staffed with central staff at UCOP; researchers at UCSD, UCLA, UCSF, and UC Davis; and actuarial firm (PWC)
- Funded through a health plan tax
- 60 day timeline
- Address Effectiveness, Cost, and Public Health Impacts

# How Clinton Hopes to Make American Drug Prices Sane Again

After a week of denouncing unaffordable medications, she laid out her plan to cap their costs to patients.

# Objective

Present results from 4 CHBRP analyses of policy options to reduce patient cost-sharing in California:

- AB 310 (2011)
- AB 1800 (2012)
- AB 1917 (2014)
- AB 339 (2015)

# Methods

- CHBRP analyzed 4 bills during 2011-2015 related to patient OOP for drugs
- CHBRP conducted surveys of California health insurers to determine the current levels of coverage and cost-sharing for each analysis.
- Actuarial firm used claims database to estimate utilization
- Population Studied: 11.1 -21.7 million individuals with insurance in California subject to state regulation.

# Policy Options

1. Prohibiting coinsurance cost-sharing for outpatient prescription drug benefits,
2. Limiting copayments to a specified dollar amount for a specified supply of medication,
3. Requiring drug benefit cost sharing to be included in the annual out-of-pocket maximum,
4. Prohibiting separate deductible for prescription drugs,
5. Prohibiting placing all or most of the medications used to treat a certain condition in the highest cost-sharing tier, and
6. Regulating the determination of placing drugs in the specialty tier.

# Assembly Bill 310 (2011)

## Content

- Prohibits coinsurance for prescription drugs,
- Limits copayments to \$150 per one month supply;
- Drug cost sharing must be included in OOP max (no limit specified)
- Applies to 21.7 million Californians

## Results

- Baseline 67% of enrollees have non-compliant coverage
- Reduction in average cost of Rx from \$271 to \$150
- Increase in drug utilization of 4.0%
- \$189 million decrease in enrollee OOP costs
- No impact based on OOP max provision



# Assembly Bill 1800 (2012)

## Content

- Drug cost sharing must be included in OOP max  
Set OOP Max at \$6,050/\$12,500
- Prohibit separate deductible for prescription drugs
- Applies to 21.7 million Californians

## Results

- Baseline 64% of enrollees have non-compliant coverage
- \$276 million decrease in enrollee OOP costs
- Average decrease in cost sharing of \$213
- Decrease driven by cap on OOP Max

# Assembly Bill 1917 (2014)

## Content

- \$265 Cap on cost-sharing per 30-day prescription (1/24 of annual OOP limit)
- Applies to 11.7 million Californians
  - Excludes Medical MCOs and CalPERS

## Results

- \$22 million decrease in enrollee OOP costs
- Reduction in average cost of Rx from \$325 to \$189
- 3% Increase in drug utilization

# Assembly Bill 339 (2015)

## Content (As Introduced)

- Cost sharing for prescription drugs needs to be reasonable
- Must cover single tablet multi-drug regimens unless it is proven to be more effective if taken individually
- Must cover extended release drugs unless the non extended release equivalent is proven to be more effective
- Drugs to treat a specific condition may not be placed in the highest cost tier
- Department of Managed Health Care to define "specialty" drugs

# Assembly Bill 339 (2015)

## **Content (As Amended)**

- Added \$265 Cap on cost-sharing per 30-day prescription (1/24 of annual OOP limit)
- Applies to 21.7 million Californians

## **Results**

- Baseline 12% of enrollees have non-compliant coverage
- \$65 million decrease in enrollee OOP costs
- No change modeled based on “reasonable” clause

# Assembly Bill 339 (2015)

## **Content (As Passed)**

- Drug formulary may not discriminate against or discourage enrollment of people with specific conditions;
- Must cover single tablet combo drugs for HIV/AIDS,
- \$250 Cap on cost-sharing per 30-day prescription (\$500 for bronze plans)

## **Results**

- TBD

# Implications

As of January 1, 2016, there were 12 states who had enacted legislation to limit cost-sharing for prescription drugs.

# Thank You!

For more information on the California  
Health Benefits Review Program see

[www.chbrp.org](http://www.chbrp.org)

