



Minutes
Sumter County Council
Regular Meeting
Tuesday, June 26, 2018 -- Held at 6:00 p.m.
County Administration Building -- County Council Chambers
13 E. Canal Street, Sumter, SC

.....

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT: James T. McCain; Chairman; James R. Byrd, Vice Chairman; Artie Baker, Eugene R. Baten, Charles T. Edens, Vivian Fleming McGhaney, and Chris Sumpter.

COUNCIL MEMBERS ABSENT: None

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: Mary Blanding, Gary Mixon, Johnathan Bryan, George McGregor, Keysa Rogers, Carolina B. Richardson, James Michaelson, Lauretha McCants, and two Sheriff Deputies.

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC: Approximately 27 members of the public were in attendance.

MEDIA PRESENT: The Item Newspaper - Adrienne Sarvis

CALL TO ORDER: Chairman Or Vice Chairman Of Sumter County Council

INVOCATION: Council Member, Staff Member, Or Local Citizen

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: All in attendance repeated the Pledge to Allegiance to the American Flag.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA: Regular Meeting Tuesday, June 26, 2018

The Chairman stated that he would entertain a motion to approve the agenda for June 26, 2018.

ACTION: MOTION was made by Councilman Baker, seconded by Councilman Baten, and unanimously carried by Council to approve the agenda as presented.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: Regular Meeting Tuesday, June 12, 2018

Chairman McCain asked for a motion concerning the approval of the minutes of Council's June 12, 2018, meeting.

ACTION: MOTION was made by Councilman Baker, seconded by Councilman Baten, and unanimously carried by Council to approve the agenda as presented.

LAND USE MATTERS AND REZONING REQUESTS:

Planned Development/Rezoning Requests

- (1) **OA-18-02 -- First Reading -- Miscellaneous & Temporary Signs (County -- 18-891 -- A Request To Amend Relevant Portions Of Article 8, Section I: Sign Regulations Of The Sumter County Development Standards Ordinance To Allow Certain Fluttering Devices, Including Feather Flags In The County.**

Mr. George McGregor, the Planning Director, presented this proposed ordinance amendment to Council for first reading approval. He gave the following information.

Pennants and Fluttering Devices (Feather flags)

In order to encourage business visibility, County Council suggests consideration of an amendment to the sign ordinance permitting a specific type of fluttering device called a feather flag. Fluttering devices of any type are prohibited and have been since the 2009 sign ordinance update (OA 08-09).

Section 8.i.4.b. Fluttering devices, states: *"floats, inflatable characters or objects, ribbons, pennants, spinners, streamers, or other types of fluttering devices shall not be permitted."*



In 2012, the Building Industry Association requested permitting these devices on a temporary basis at model homes but withdrew this request during the planning commission review stage.

Consideration should be given to type, size, location, and frequency.

Suggested parameters for Feather Flag signs

1. Definition: A feather flag sign is a lightweight, portable, freestanding, on-premise sign typically constructed of a single plastic or metal shaft that is affixed to the ground or a base with an attached pendant vertically attached to the shaft.
2. Size: Maximum height of 12 feet; maximum width of 3 feet; maximum sign area 36 sq. ft.
3. Number:
 - Parcels with less than 50 linear feet of frontage measured at the primary street-2 flags
 - Parcels with 50 to 100 linear feet of frontage-3 flags
 - Parcels with 100 linear feet or more-4 flags
4. Feather flag signs shall be permitted on all properties with a commercial or industrial zoning designation (PO, NC, LC, GC, LI-W, HI) and on any parcel in the AC Zoning district.
5. Feather flags shall meet all district sign setbacks and be maintained in good repair at all times.
6. Feather flag signs shall be permitted as a conditional use and limited to up to three 30-day only periods per year for a total maximum of 90 days. A permit is required (\$25 temporary sign/conditional use). Those exact dates will be annotated on the permit issued by the Planning Department.
7. For Multi-tenant properties, each business/tenant shall be entitled to a conditional use for feather flag signs for up to three 30 day only periods per year for a total of 90 days so long as

the total number of feather flags on the parcel at any one time does not exceed the limits established in #3 above. A permit is required (\$25 temporary sign/conditional use). Those exact dates will be annotated on the permit issued by the Planning Department.

Mr. McGregor stated that the Sumter City-County Planning Commission at its meeting on Wednesday, April 25, 2018, voted to recommend denial of the request by a vote of 3-2 (with two abstentions). The Commission raised several concerns related to the timing, number, and duration of these types of temporary sign devices and was not supportive of opening up this category for additional signage.

Councilman Baker stated that he asked that this matter be brought to Council because a businessman in his community had signs out and the "sign patrol" came out and when the patrol saw the signs, the businessman was cited for having this type of sign. Councilman Baker stated that he does not support telling a businessman what is best for his/her business. Councilman Baker further stated that he would like for this matter to be given first reading in order to hear from the public about this matter.

Therefore, the following motion was given.

ACTION: MOTION was made by Councilman Baker, seconded Vice Chairman Byrd, and unanimously carried by Council to grant first reading as presented in order to allow for public hearing to be held on June 26, 2018.

NOTE: Councilman Edens asked if there was any discussion on the scale of the sign and frontage signs, etc. Mr. McGregor did not have this information with him at the meeting; however the Chairman asked that this information be provided at the next meeting. How many Counties have these flutters in their counties?

(2) Sumter 2040: Comprehensive Plan Update Presentation.

Mr. McGregor stated that every ten years, the County must update its Comprehensive Plan as required by State Policy. The Comprehensive Plan is a policy document that helps guide growth, development, design, and a host of other matters as it relates to Planning. It is required that the public be informed about changes in the Comprehensive Plan, especially while it is being updated. With that in mind, a survey has been developed to allow for the citizenry to get involved with this process. Anyone can complete a survey; it is located on the City, County, and Planning Departments websites. Information has also been sent to the Local Community Centers and Hope Centers. A letter will be going to churches next week and the Planning Staff will attend any church group that would like to know about the Comprehensive Plan. If anyone has a question, they can contact the Planning staff at 803-774-1603.

ACTION: Received as information.

(3) FEMA National Flood Insurance Program Information.

Sumter County has received an Award from FEMA for its participation in and following FEMA's guidelines which has allowed the County to receive a better Flood Insurance Rating from a rating of 8 to a rating of 7. This will allow Sumter County and its citizens to have lower insurance rates because of the County's participation in this program.

ACTION: Received as information.

OTHER PUBLIC HEARINGS: None

NEW BUSINESS:

- (1) **R-18-02 -- A Resolution by Members of Sumter County Council Adopting Council's Actions On The 2018-2019 Budget Request Of Sumter School District.**

Chairman McCain stated that in Council's packet is a resolution concerning the adoption of the School District's 2018-2019 Budget Request. The Chairman called for a motion on this ordinance so that Council could enter into discussion on this matter. After calling for the motion several times, Councilwoman McGhaney gave the following motion.

Councilwoman McGhaney stated that, for the record, she wants the Council members and citizens to know that as an educator, she contacted the S. C. Ethics Commission in regards to her participating in and voting on the School District's Budget for 2018-2019. It was the opinion of the Ethics Commission that there is no reason for her not to fulfill her duties as an elected member of Sumter County Council in regards to this proposed resolution. She further stated that the Ethics Commission informed her that as long as there is no direct compensation or profits, etc., to her or anyone related to her for this budget then she has a right to participate in the deliberations as well as the voting for its adoption or denial.

ACTION: MOTION was made by Councilman McGhaney and seconded by Councilman Baten to approve the resolution so that discussion on the proposed resolution can take place.

The following Council members gave comments on this resolution (Listed below in brown):

- Councilwoman McGhaney expressed her support for the resolution as presented because of the need at the school and the things she sees that the School Administrators are trying to do. She also said that there are things at the inner city school that the rural schools still do not have for the children such as STEM. If this resolution is passed as is, it will give the Administrators an opportunity to do more the children. Although she is not in support of the closing of the rural schools, she is in support of providing more resources for these children.
- Councilman Baten stated that he does not believe that the School District was wise in closing the schools in the rural areas and now there is an issue as to handle the problem. He added that he always supports the School District. He also stated that he is not in support of the resolution because the Legislative Delegation interjected themselves into the School Board. Councilman Baten further stated the Delegation placed two additional people on the School Board so that those people could vote according to what the Delegation would want and that was closing the schools – Mayewood and Brewington Academy. Councilman Baten added that the citizens should have had a voice into who would be added to the Board and not handpicked by the Delegation. Councilman Baten stated that since the Delegation appointed two people on the School Board, they now need to fix the problem and grant the school district with fiscal autonomy. Councilman Baten asked the School District members to talk to their Delegation members and ask them for fiscal autonomy. He further stated that a non-partisan body should not let a partisan body tell them how to run their organization especially since there are nine members on the School District Board and only seven members of Sumter County Council.

- Chairman McCain stated that he agrees with Councilman Baten on several matters relating to the School District, however, the School Board has already voted on the closure of those schools, now it is time to help the School District work towards its vision. Chairman McCain further stated that it would be great for Sumter to be a STEM District instead of having several STEM schools. The School District is working with industry to ensure that they prepare our students to acquire some of the jobs that are available in this community. He also stated that he does not agree to add millage to increase the District's Fund Balance.
- Councilman Sumpter stated that the subject about the funding for the school is near and dear to him. He added that he graduated in 2010 and he can remember some of the things that were happening in the schools at that time; however, it appears that more spending is being done, that may not necessarily need to be done. Yet, the School District is asking for more money but that may not be needed. We need to be more practical; some new practices need to be done. Also, if we need to trim the fat off of some of the expenses, and trim it now. Councilman Sumpter said that he will be reviewing several matters during the year to see what can be changed.
- Councilman Baten stated if we do not allow the School District to have fiscal autonomy now, then when.
- Councilwoman McGhaney said that Dr. Hamm is not asking for a large sum of money; she is just trying to move the School District forward.

After all comments, the Chairman called for a vote on the motion.

FIRST ACTION: MOTION to approve the resolution as presented.

Roll Call Vote:

Yes: James T. McCain and Vivian Fleming McGhaney

Nay: James R. Byrd, Charles T. Edens, Artie Baker, Eugene R. Baten, and Chris Sumpter

The motion failed; and the resolution was denied as presented.

After further discussion, the Chairman called for a motion concerning a resolution for the School District Budget.

SECOND ACTION: MOTION was made by Chairman McCain and seconded by Councilman McGhaney to grant approval of the School District Budget Resolution by providing an additional 2.88 Mills for programs that will directly help the teachers and the children of the District.

Roll Call Vote:

Yes: James T. McCain and Vivian Fleming McGhaney

Nay: James R. Byrd, Charles T. Edens, Artie Baker, Eugene R. Baten, and Chris Sumpter

The motion failed; and the resolution was denied as amended.

Councilman McGhaney asked the question as to what needs to be done by Council about the School District's Resolution if all motions thus far have failed. The County Attorney noted that since the motions died; the School District will receive the same millage as it did last year for its budget. Councilwoman McGhaney stated that she felt there should be a resolution as in the past even if it is showing that there is no change in the millage. Council discussed this matter further to determine what would be the action now as noted by Councilman McGhaney. After much discussion, it was agreed that Council will take action on the development of a resolution which would address the

School District receiving the exact same mills it received last year of 160.1 mills; the temporary 10 mills that were used by the School District will continue as it was last year but not added to School District's 160.1 mills.

THIRD ACTION: MOTION was made by Councilman McGhaney, seconded by Chairman McCain, and unanimously carried by Council to approve a resolution that would allow for the School District to receive the exact same mills as it did last year of 160.1 and allow for the temporary 10 mills to continue for the school District, and to keep those two mills separated.

Roll Call Vote:

Yes: James T. McCain and Vivian Fleming McGhaney, James R. Byrd, Charles T. Edens, Artie Baker, Eugene R. Baten, and Chris Sumpter

Nay: None

The motion was approved. See resolution below in green.

Resolution During Discussion By Sumter County Council.

Be It Resolved by the County Council for Sumter County, South Carolina, in Council duly assembled:

1. *That in accordance with the general law and local law, any millage increase over the prior fiscal year millage must be approved by the governing body of Sumter County.*

2. *The provisions of §6-1-320(A)(1) of the Code of Laws of South Carolina, allow for an increase in millage, based on the consumer price indices for the prior calendar year and the percentage increase in the previous year in the population of Sumter County as determined by the Office of Research and Statistics of the State Budget and Control Board. The maximum permissible millage increase for the prior year (fiscal year 2017-2018) is 2.0 mills which is 1.27% of 160.1 mills. The maximum permissible millage increase for fiscal year 2018-2019 is 3.4 mills which is 2.13% of 162.1 mills.*

3. *That the annexed copy of the proposed budget (the Budget) for the Sumter School District, which was submitted for approval to the County Council for Sumter County, South Carolina, by the Sumter School District pursuant to the provisions of South Carolina Act 387 of 2008, as amended by Act 112 of 2009 of the General Assembly of the State of South Carolina, is, together with any changes or amendments heretofore made thereon, made a part hereof, and incorporated into this Resolution by reference.*

4. *That the annexed Budget, inclusive of any and all changes and amendments thereto heretofore made thereon as are reflected on the annexed copy thereof, is hereby approved by the County Council for Sumter County, South Carolina.*

5. *That the appropriate officials of Sumter County, South Carolina, are authorized and directed to determine, assess, levy, collect, and disburse the Ad Valorem taxes which will be generated by 165.5 mills, which Ad Valorem taxes will be approximately \$31.9 million.*

6. *Notwithstanding any other provision of this resolution, should the total millage needed to fund any other amount appropriated herein exceed the **millage approved herein**, the amounts appropriated herein shall be automatically reduced to the amounts actually generated by the approved millage rate of 165.5.*

7. *The Sumter School District may continue the 10 mill temporary levy from FY2017-2018 for the Fiscal Year 2018-2019 for the purpose of restoring the General Fund Balance to required minimum level.*

ACTION: MOTION was made by Councilman McGhaney, seconded by Chairman McCain, and unanimously carried by Council to grant approval of the resolution by allowing the same millage as the school district received last year.

Insert The Resolution As Approved.

Be It Resolved by the County Council for Sumter County, South Carolina, in Council duly assembled:

1. That in accordance with the general law and local law, any millage increase over the prior fiscal year millage must be approved by the governing body of Sumter County.
2. The provisions of §6-1-320(A)(1) of the Code of Laws of South Carolina, allow for an increase in millage, based on the consumer price indices for the prior calendar year and the percentage increase in the previous year in the population of Sumter County as determined by the Office of Research and Statistics of the State Budget and Control Board. The maximum permissible millage increase for fiscal year 2018-2019 is 3.4 mills which is 2.13% of 160.1 mills.
3. That the annexed copy of the proposed budget (the Budget) for the Sumter School District, which was submitted for approval to the County Council for Sumter County, South Carolina, by the Sumter School District pursuant to the provisions of South Carolina Act 387 of 2008, as amended by Act 112 of 2009 of the General Assembly of the State of South Carolina, is, together with any changes or amendments heretofore made thereon, made a part hereof, and incorporated into this Resolution by reference.
4. That the annexed Budget, inclusive of any and all changes and amendments thereto heretofore made thereon as are reflected on the annexed copy thereof, is hereby approved by the County Council for Sumter County, South Carolina.
5. That the appropriate officials of Sumter County, South Carolina, are authorized and directed to determine, assess, levy, collect, and disburse the Ad Valorem taxes which will be generated by 160.1 mills, which Ad Valorem taxes will be approximately \$30.9 million.
6. Notwithstanding any other provision of this resolution, should the total millage needed to fund any other amount appropriated herein exceed the **millage approved herein**, the amounts appropriated herein shall be automatically reduced to the amounts actually generated by the approved millage rate of 160.1.
7. The Sumter School District may continue the 10 mill temporary levy from FY2017-2018 for the Fiscal Year 2018-2019 for the purpose of restoring the General Fund Balance to required minimum level.

- (2) It May Be Necessary To Hold An Executive Session To Discuss An Economic Development Matter Or A Personnel Matter, Receive A Legal Briefing, Discuss A Contractual Matter, Or Other Matter Pertaining To An Executive Session, And Take Appropriate Actions Thereafter If Required.

No Executive Session was held.

OLD BUSINESS:

- (1) 18-890 – Second Reading – An Ordinance To Approve The Revised Sumter-Lee Regional Detention Center Contract.

The County Administrator, Gary Mixon, presented the proposed ordinance to Council for second reading consideration. He emphasized the following areas 2, 5, and 14 as highlighted below.

After reviewing the ordinance and the contract as listed below, Council took action on second reading.

ACTION: MOTION was made by Councilman Baker, seconded by Councilman Sumpter to approve the ordinance as presented at second reading.

NOTE: Councilman McGhaney did not vote on this matter due to a possible conflict of interest.

ORDINANCE AS PRESENTED:

WHEREAS, Sumter County Council has carefully considered all aspects of funding and operating the Sumter-Lee Regional Detention Center; and

WHEREAS, Sumter County Council has determined that it is in the best interest of the citizens of Sumter County that it maintain its relationship with Lee County Council regarding funding and operating the Sumter-Lee Regional Detention Center; and

WHEREAS, Sumter County Council has further determined that it is in the best interest of the citizens of Sumter County that the existing contract be revised to reflect changes which have occurred since the last contract was made with Lee County Council.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF SUMTER COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA, AT ITS REGULAR MEETING DULY ASSEMBLED AND BY THE AUTHORITY THEREOF:

That the attached Revised Sumter-Lee Regional Detention Center Contract between the Sumter County Council, Lee County Council is hereby approved by the Sumter County Council.

This Ordinance shall take effect upon third reading.

This Ordinance is done, ratified and adopted _____, 2018.

REVISED SUMTER-LEE REGIONAL DETENTION CENTER CONTRACT

WHEREAS, Sumter County and Lee County are legally obligated to provide detention centers for certain prisoners;

WHEREAS, Lee County has determined that the most economically feasible method for meeting its legal obligation is to house certain of the prisoners it is obligated to house in the Sumter-Lee Regional Detention Center and to contribute to the expansion, renovation, maintenance, and operation of the Sumter-Lee Regional Detention Center; and

WHEREAS, Sumter County has determined that the most economically feasible method for meeting its obligations to house its prisoners is with the fiscal support of Lee County in the expansion, renovation, maintenance, and operation of the Sumter-Lee Regional Detention Center;

NOW, THEREFORE, Lee County, by its duly constituted officials, and Sumter County, by its duly constituted officials mutually agree as follows:

1. Sumter County will house all Lee County prisoners, as designated by Lee County, at the Sumter-Lee Regional Detention Center (hereinafter "S-LRDC").

2. The Sumter-Lee Regional Detention Center shall be operated by the Sumter County Sheriff in accordance with an Agreement, the effective date of which is October 24, 2017. The Sumter County Sheriff shall appoint the Director of the S-LRDC and shall supervise his/her operation of the S-LRDC. The Sumter County Sheriff shall also have the sole power to terminate the appointment of the Director and to appoint his/her successor. All decisions concerning the scope and nature of capital projects relating to the S-LRDC, as defined below, shall be made by

Sumter County, but Sumter County shall take into consideration such information and requests made by Lee County officials in making such decisions.

3. Lee County will contribute ten (10%) per cent of all costs of any capital projects related to the S-LRDC. These capital projects include, the expansion and renovation of the existing S-LRDC, the construction of a new S-LRDC, and any other future capital improvements to the S-LRDC.

4. The operating budget of the S-LRDC will be determined by Sumter County Council and such operating budget shall include the cost of Sumter County's obligation to transport Lee County prisoners under this agreement. A copy of the proposed annual operating budget shall be submitted to Lee County Council at least sixty (60) days prior to approval by the Sumter County Council in order to provide Lee County Council with the opportunity to provide input into the budget. Sumter County shall take into consideration such information and requests made by Lee County officials in determining the annual operating budget of the S-LRDC.

5. Until the end of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2018, Lee County will contribute and pay to Sumter County ten (10.09%) per cent of the annual operating budget of the S-LRDC. Beginning with the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2018, and every year thereafter, the responsibility of Lee County to contribute to the operating budget of the S-LRDC shall be based on the average percentage of Lee County detainees housed at the S-LRDC during the previous five fiscal years of the total average number of prisoners house at the S-LRDC during the previous five fiscal years, which shall be calculated on the basis of inmate days. However, the maximum increase or decrease for either county's contribution from one year to the next shall be one percentage point even if the number of inmate days for either county's detainees in any particular fiscal year exceeds one percent over the prior average of the past five fiscal years. The Director of the S-LRDC shall be responsible for keeping such records as may be necessary to make such determination and shall provide Lee County with a monthly tabulation of days for each county as well as the municipal days attributed to the City of Bishopville. In the event that the actual cost of operation of the S-LRDC exceeds the budgeted cost, Lee County shall pay to Sumter County its applicable percentage of the excess cost. Lee County shall make quarterly payments towards the operating cost of the S-LRDC within ten (10) days of receipt of an invoice from Sumter County. Any revenue generated by the S-LRDC shall also be shared by Sumter and Lee County on the same percentage basis as the operational expenses.

6. All costs of capital projects and the operating budgets of the S-LRDC, other than those assumed by Lee County under this agreement, shall be borne by Sumter County.

7. Each law enforcement agency in Sumter and Lee Counties shall be responsible for the initial transportation of a prisoner to the Correction Center, e.g. upon initial arrest of the prisoner. Thereafter the Sumter-Lee Regional Detention Center will transport such prisoners to and from such places in Lee County, or elsewhere, as necessary.

8. In the event Matching Grants are obtained for the benefit of the S-LRDC, each County shall be responsible for the matching funds in amounts proportional to the operating cost funding ratio in effect at the time the grant is secured.

9. The S-LRDC shall at all times keep full and accurate records of its actions and doings and of its receipts and expenditures. The receipts and expenditures of the S-LRDC shall be audited annually as part of the audit of Sumter County. A copy of the Sumter County audit report shall be provided to the administrator of Lee County. The records of the S-LRDC shall be at all times available for inspection by Lee County or its authorized representative. In addition, the Director of the Correction Center shall provide a quarterly statement of operations of the jail to Lee County.

10. Sumter County shall house all prisoners incarcerated at the S-LRDC in the legally required manner, in compliance with all applicable valid federal and State of South Carolina law. Sumter County assumes all liability for the operation of the jail.

11. In the event that the S-LRDC is unable to house a prisoner from either of the Counties, the Director of the S-LRDC shall make arrangements for the housing of such prisoner in suitable detention facilities elsewhere. The cost of housing such prisoner in a detention facility elsewhere shall be considered an operating cost of the S-LRDC for purposes of this agreement.

12. There shall be a semi-annual meeting between the Lee County and Sumter County Administrators, the Sumter and Lee County Jail Administrators, and the Chairman of the Public Safety Committees for the respective counties for the purpose of discussing the operation of the jail.

13. Any disagreements regarding the construction of this agreement, and any complaints regarding the obligations of or the performance by either County under the agreement shall be submitted to a committee comprised of the Administrator of each County, the Chairperson of County Council of each County, and one member of the Public Safety Committee of each County. This committee shall meet at such time and place as designated by the Chairperson of Sumter County Council. The decisions of this committee regarding the matter before it shall be binding on each County.

14. The term of this agreement shall be thirty (30) years with an option to renew for an additional thirty (30) years. Each county shall notify the other no less than six months before the termination of the initial thirty-year term of its decision whether to renew this agreement. Failure of either County to notify timely the other County shall constitute a forfeiture of the option. **In the absence of a renewal, this agreement shall terminate on the last day of the fiscal year of the thirtieth year following the first day of the fiscal year beginning after the effective date of this agreement. The effective date of the agreement shall be July 1, 2018.** Notwithstanding the foregoing, Lee County may terminate this contract effective at the end of the fiscal year of each county upon giving six (6) months' notice to the Sumter County Council. Sumter County may terminate this contract effective at the end of the fiscal year of each County upon giving twenty-four (24) months' notice to the Lee County Council or until such time as Lee County can construct a jail, not to exceed 48 months.

15. Lee County's 10% capital contribution funding obligations may be made in annual installments over a five year period, with no interest accruing, documented by a promissory note payable to Sumter County.

COMMITTEE REPORTS:

- (1) County Council Special Meeting On Tuesday, June 26, 2018, 5:00 p.m. in County Council Chambers, Third Floor, 13 E. Canal Street, Sumter, SC.

Council received information concerning the proposed information for Sumter School District's Budget Resolution; however, no action was acted upon. Information about the resolution is listed under New Business within these minutes.

- (2) Report From Council Members On Other Meetings, Trainings, And/Or Conferences; And Any Other Council Comments.

No one spoke during this time.

MONTHLY REPORTS

- (1) SCAC Conference 2018

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

No report given.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Chairman McCain asked if anyone from the public wished to speak before Sumter County Council. He reminded the public that all comments should be made through him and that no personal statements needed to be made about or to anyone.

- Dr. Brenda Williams spoke to Council about her concern that the School District is funding its budget and fund balance on the back of the schools that are being closed and they are all schools in minority communities. She asked Council and other members of the public to go see the extensive work that is being done at R. E. Davis due to the closure of Mayewood Middle School.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business for Sumter County Council, and no additional comments from the public, the meeting was adjourned at 7:01 p.m. after a motion by Councilman Sumpter, seconded by Councilman Baker, and unanimously carried by Council.

Respectfully submitted,

James T. McCain, Jr.
Chairman or Vice Chairman
Sumter County Council

Mary W. Blanding
Clerk to County Council
Sumter County Council

Approved: July 10, 2018

I certify that public and media notification of the above-mentioned meeting was given prior thereto as follows required by Freedom of Information:

- Public Notified: Yes
- Manner Notified: Agendas posted on bulletin board on third floor of the Administration Building.
- Date Posted: June 25, 2018
- Media Notified: Yes
- Manner Notified: Agenda Information is listed on Sumter County's Home Page, and E-mailed to The Item, The Chamber, WIS-TV, WBTW, and Time Warner Cable.
- Date Notified: June 22, 2018

Respectfully submitted,
Mary W. Blanding
Clerk to County Council